



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/933,846	08/21/2001	Dennis Van De Meulenhof	NL000468	7421	
24737	7590 10/20/2004		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			DANG, I	DANG, KHANH	
	P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2111		

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/933, 846	VAN DE MEULENHOF ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Khanh Dang	2111				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	l) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> <li>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.</li> </ul>	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee tee. The appropriate extension fee under				
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	onths after the mailing date of the final reje	ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
<ul><li>(a)</li></ul>						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		•				
. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app						
□ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paner No(s)						
10. Other:	K	nas Pares				
	•	Khanh Dang Primary Examiner				

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/933,846

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 11 has never been presented before the Final Rejection and therefore, raises new issues. In particular, the newly presented word: "module" in claim 11 changes the scope of the claim..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims, as discussed in details in the Final Rejection and particularly under "Response to Applicants' arguments, are fully met by the prior art. Any newly presented argument in the After-Final Amendment will be fully responded in due course..

\_